



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/803,441

03/09/2001

Michelle R. Lehmeier

10004872-1

6930

22879

7590

06/03/2009

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY  
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, JAMES A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/03/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/803,441	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEHMEIER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James A. Thompson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) James A. Thompson.

(2) Dan Hu (Reg. # 40,025).

**Date of Interview:** 19 May 2009

**Status of Application:** BPAI Decision Rendered

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James A Thompson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to ask permission to cancel the claims whose rejections were affirmed by the Board. Thus, Examiner can allow the claims whose rejections were reversed by the Board. Applicant's representative stated that Applicants did not wish to prosecute the application any further. Examiner therefore stated that he would simply follow the guidelines set forth in the MPEP for allowing an appealed case that had been affirmed in part. Examiner notes that the controlling section of the MPEP is section 1214.06(II).